

Policy Advisory Committee

3 September 2020

Meeting - PAC#25





1. Membership Matters

1.1. House Keeping

1.2. Apologies

- 2. Minutes from the PAC#24 meeting
- 3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved/blocked names
- 4. Update on the on-going discussion relating to the handling of online abuse
- 5. Fast-Track Policy Change Request WHOIS Services Policy
- 6. Any Other Business
- 7. Next Meeting

1. Membership Matters



House-keeping matters

- Please keep microphones muted throughout the call
- > Please "raise a hand" to ask a question or add comments in the chat box
- Request to allow the meeting be recorded to assist with minute drafting
 - No objections raised on mailing list
 - Recording will deleted once the Minutes are approved by PAC



- 1. Membership Matters
 - 1.1. House Keeping
 - 1.2. Apologies

2. Minutes from the PAC#24 meeting

- 3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved/blocked names
- 4. Update on the on-going discussion relating to the handling of online abuse
- 5. Fast-Track Policy Change Request WHOIS Services Policy
- 6. Any Other Business
- 7. Next Meeting

2. Minutes of the PAC #24 Meeting - 11 June 2020



> Meeting minutes are circulated to the membership within one week of each meeting

- Comments/feedback accepted over a two week period
- > If clarifications/edits are requested, and consensus exists, these are reflected in the Minutes
- > Meeting minutes, and supporting slides, are published on IEDR.ie after the comment period has ended
- Published online at <u>http://www.iedr.ie/policy-development-process/</u>



- 1. Membership Matters
 - 1.1. House Keeping
 - 1.2. Apologies
- 2. Minutes from the PAC#24 meeting
- 3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved/blocked names
- 4. Update on the on-going discussion relating to the handling of online abuse
- 5. Fast-Track Policy Change Request WHOIS Services Policy
- 6. Any Other Business
- 7. Next Meeting

3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved / blocked names



Recap:

- Discussion topic raised to address the need for enhanced determinism, consistency and clarity
- ✤ A number of potential, suitable policy responses were identified
- Discovery Group was setup to review and discuss these options
- Discovery Group developed consensus on a suitable approach
- Related policy change request was submitted at #PAC 23
- Broad consensus established for the identified policy approach
 - which involve making minor edits to the "availability" provisions in the Registration & Naming Policy

3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved / blocked names



Consensus that an appropriate response should:-

- be based on the principles of transparency, quality of information and a mechanism to "apply" for a reserved domain name
- adopt the "EURid (.eu) approach"
 - Address "reserved"/"blocked" names in the "availability" provisions within .ie Registration & Naming Policy
 - Publish a non-exhaustive list of "blocked"/"reserved" names
 - Publish user-friendly materials, such as leaflets, with guidance on why/how such names are handled
 - Introduce a defined, transparent procedure for facilitating requests to "apply" for "blocked"/"reserved" names

3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved / blocked names



Outstanding Actions and Updates:

- 1. Minor edits required to the Registration & Naming Policy have been drafted, and shared with PAC Registrars
 - Feedback on word-crafting requested by 11 September 2020
- 2. User-friendly guidance materials, including helpful on-site content and a leaflet are being drafted
- 3. Domains intended for potential future commercial use by IEDR have been re-classified as normal registrations
- 4. Transparent procedure for facilitating requests to "apply" for reserved names drafted in November 2019
- 5. WHOIS response messages for lookup requests for blocked/reserved names:
 - Being designed as part of the new TITAN registry platform development
 - These will be introduced with the launch of that platform in November 2020



- 1. Membership Matters
 - 1.1. House Keeping
 - 1.2. Apologies
- 2. Minutes from the PAC#24 meeting
- 3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved/blocked names
- 4. Update on the on-going discussion relating to the handling of online abuse
- 5. Fast-Track Policy Change Request WHOIS Services Policy
- 6. Any Other Business
- 7. Next Meeting



Discussion Recap:

The topic was raised for discussion at the PAC#19 meeting:-

- > In light of increased concerns of online abuse amongst all internet users
- > National / International response focusing on appropriate, effective, efficient abuse handling:-
 - EU legislation (e.g. NIS, ENISA, Cybersecurity Act, CPC Regulation), "Notice & Action" etc.
 - Dept. of Communications recent press release regarding social media and takedown legislation
- > to identify the issues involved in developing an appropriate abuse handling strategy
- > PAC split discussions into two work streams **Technical Abuse** and **Criminal Abuse**



Recap continued

- Stopping abusive activity and removing illegal content

- > Removal of the content from the Internet is the most *effective* way to avoid content being accessed.
- > Two parties have access to the content (or the device storing it): the **content publisher** and **hosting provider**.

- What role have ccTLD operators played?

- > Attempts to "block" abuse at the Registry-level usually result in domain registration suspension/deletion
- > Historically, ccTLD operators have taken action as **last resort** (in emergency/Court Order/Law Enforcement)

- Challenges faced by Registry-level action:-

- > the abusive content remains **available** (as only the host or content publisher can truly remove it)
- such measures may have unintended collateral damage



4.1. Technical abuse

- Discussions have focused on:-
 - how other ccTLDs handle tech abuse
 - when action should be taken / who should take it etc.
 - the potential introduction of a free informational service for Registrars, such as NetCraft:
 - > Alerting Registrars if domains under their management are engaging in abuse
 - Publishing helpful guidelines outlining suggested Registrar action
 - The rationale for this is that Registrars have a direct relationship with the Registrant and/or those managing the registration



PAC#24 – Recommendation

IEDR and PAC acknowledged:

- noted increases in registration and technical abuse in other EU ccTLDs
 - ✤ particularly in light of the current Covid-19 situation, and the rise in e-commerce etc.
- need to ensure the **continued safety** of the .ie namespace for the Irish internet community
- intention to progress this work stream to support the Registrar community in its response to abuse
- PAC consensus to issue a recommendation to the IEDR Board for the Registry to:
 - introduce a Netcraft-style, free, informational service for Registrars
 - > publish guidelines for Registrars outlining suggested actions to be taken

(with the Registry working with PAC Registrar reps on word-crafting)

Updates and Next Steps:

- Helpful guidelines for Registrars have been drafted and circulated to PAC Registrar reps for input
- IEDR is working with NetCraft to:-
 - \checkmark review the practical considerations related to the implementation of the service
 - ✓ agree costings/contracting
- Service expected to launch in Q4 2020. Further updates will be provided in due course

4.2. Criminal Abuse

- Discussions have focused on potential introduction of a cooperative arrangement with the Garda National Cyber Crime Bureau (GNCCB)
- GNCCB contacted IEDR prior to PAC discussion requesting the introduction of such an arrangement
- IEDR raised suggestion for PAC input:
 - Some members commented that they felt IEDR should be prepared to act responsibly and have a protocol in place to address serious, criminal abuse, if/when it arises.
 - > Other members questioned whether there was a genuine need for such an arrangement
- Representatives from GNCCB and Economic Crime Bureau (GNECB) presented at PAC#23 on:
 - > what problems they are having with tackling online abuse (particularly with .ie names)
 - > which crimes they wish to tackle via a cooperative arrangement
 - > what frictions they're experiencing with "normal" channels for suspension/takedown

4.2. Criminal Abuse

The GNCCB/GNECB representatives also noted that **<u>reactive</u> policing** efforts operate relatively effective:

- no notable abuse concerns within the .ie namespace
- no notable friction with existing takedown and suspension procedures
- ISPs typically act responsively and responsibly in response to requests from the GNCCB and GNECB

They commented on a shift to **proactive**, **preventative** policing:

- to combat the tech-savvy nature of criminals (adapting promptly to site takedowns)
- to protect legitimate internet users from becoming victims of serious, life-altering crime

Regarding a potential Cooperative Arrangement:

- They acknowledged the important value of a potential arrangement
- Noted that it should be a structured process with appropriate safeguards that meet the needs of all stakeholders, and operate in a manner which is mutually beneficial
- Would potentially be used where hosts had failed to address the issue



PAC#24 – Recommendation

PAC agreed that there was consensus:-

- to re-visit the draft protocol arrangement, and to revise this to ensure the safeguards identified are included
- to circulate this revised edition to the representative from the GNCCB for discussion purposes, and to revert to the PAC with the GNCCB feedback in due course

Updates and Next Steps:

- Edits to the previously drafted protocol arrangement are in progress
- These will be shared with the GNCCB when finalised, for discussion purposes
- Further updates will be provided in due course



- 1. Membership Matters
 - 1.1. House Keeping
 - 1.2. Apologies
- 2. Minutes from the PAC#24 meeting
- 3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved/blocked names
- 4. Update on the on-going discussion relating to the handling of online abuse
- 5. Fast-Track Policy Change Request WHOIS Services Policy
- 6. Any Other Business
- 7. Next Meeting

5. Fast-track policy change requests relating to the WHOIS Services Policy (formerly WHOIS Policy)

Proposed Policy edits relate to:

- > Introducing new terminology to mirror that used in the new Registry systems (e.g. Registrant instead of Domain Holder)
- Accommodating RDAP functionality
- > Providing clarification on field names, including those containing personal data, published via WHOIS Services
- Providing clarification on the data formats for the Registrar abuse contact role (now a defined contact role)
- **Guiding principles** within the Policy will remain in place, for example:
 - > Registrant name will be published on the WHOIS where the domain is registered to a legal entity (e.g. company/charity)
 - These Registrants cannot opt-out of the publication of their legal name (required within Registrant T&Cs)
 - > Other Registrant names (non-legal entities, such as individuals or sole traders), will **not be published by default**
 - These Registrants will retain the right to publish their name, if desired

(based on their explicit consent, which can be revoked at any time)

Proposed policy text edits have been circulated to PAC Registrars for input

6. Any Other Business



- 6.1 Updates on the fast-track policy change requests submitted at the PAC#24 meeting
- 6.2 Update on industry related developments/legislative changes to be outlined by PAC members
- 6.3 Industry's actions in response to Covid-19 pandemic

6. Fast-track policy change requests

Edits to the fast-track requests have been drafted and circulated to PAC Registrar representatives for input, as follows:

Policy	Nature of Changes
Data and Document	Definitions have been added (this Policy previously hyperlinked to the Privacy Policy definitions)
Retention Policy	Clarification provided on the retention of personal data associated with domain contacts
	(data kept for the lifetime of the contact being used in a contact role, plus two years, provided the contact isn't associated with another .ie domain)
Privacy Policy	Clarification provided on the updated retention practices (as above), and the processing of personal data related to the dispute resolution services
	Clarification provided that personal data may be processed by those providing technical support services in Canada
	Edits made to Section 6 on Security and how to update information
Registration and	Provisions added relating to the:
Naming Policy	operation of the new lifecycle (Auto-Renewal and Deletion Processes) and Grace Periods
	 refund of the registration fee if a domain is deleted within 14 days of registration
	blocked/reserved names
	 documentation of the Registry Lock service (this process has been in operation for many years, but not previously added to the Policy)
	 introduction of new Contact roles/responsibilities for the Registrant
	• secondary market transactions and domain reassignments – a new Procedure for the Registrant Transfer process will accommodate all requests to change the Registrant
	granted the right to use a .ie domain. (This will be a single-ticket process, retaining the requirement for the validation of the new Registrant's connection to Ireland)
WHOIS Services Policy	Expanded to accommodate for RDAP operations
	• Clarification on field names, including those containing personal data, published via WHOIS Services (continuing to publish the Registrant name where the Registrant is a
	company or charity (legal person), and redacting the Registrant name for other registrant types (individuals, sole traders etc.)
	• Clarification on the data formats for the Registrar abuse contact role (previously a single, open-text field in the .ie database, it is now a defined contact role)

6. Any Other Business



- 6.1 Updates on the fast-track policy change requests submitted at the PAC#24 meeting
- 6.2 Update on industry related developments/legislative changes to be outlined by PAC members
- 6.3 Industry's actions in response to Covid-19 pandemic



1. Membership Matters

1.1. House Keeping

1.2. Apologies

- 2. Minutes from the PAC#24 meeting
- 3. Update on the policy change request relating to the handling of reserved/blocked names
- 4. Update on the on-going discussion relating to the handling of online abuse
- 5. Fast-Track Policy Change Request WHOIS Services Policy
- 6. Any Other Business
- 7. Next Meeting



Next Meeting

PAC # 26

3 December 2020